

# Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/712,821

Applicant(s)

KINSELLA, TODD M.

Examiner

Padmashri Ponnaluri

Art Unit

1639

## All Participants:

(1) Padmashri Ponnaluri.

(2) Applicants' attorney Megan Williams.

Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 31 March 2004

Time: \_\_\_\_\_

## Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

## Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

*all pending claims 13-45*

Prior art documents discussed:

*n/a*

## Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

## Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner has called applicants attorney to discuss the restriction/election requirement and independent claim 38. Applicant's attorney has informed that the independent claims 38 and 21 are similar in scope with claim 13, since HBEGF is one of the selection genes and the second selection gene is GFP. In view of applicants response examiner has informed to consider to examine the independent claims 21 and 38 along with the elected claim 13.